Peer Review

First, the writer mentions and clearly appreciates the widely accepted ethical norms which guide the whole process of research and this is a very important basis for research.

The writer recognizes at least two challenges in his/her research which are authorship and collaboration between groups and institutes.

The writer mentions that sometimes it is not so easy to define authorship and the effort that is required to be accepted as an author. Sometimes there can be pressure to accept authors who have name, but have not actually participated in the process, perhaps in order to get more attention from journals. The potential benefit or harm might be a dilemma between an individual researcher and the research group.

Collaboration between groups is generally considered important for achieving goals more efficiently. On the other hand it poses a threat as ideas are shared and refined and it can blur who’s ideas they were in the first place.

These before mentioned examples are very generalizable for all research. I would encourage the writer to consider also more specifically his/her own field, what other challenges he/she might encounter. The specific research field is not mentioned either, but if engineering, maybe data processing could be one to consider or with human sciences the ethics of trials etc.